

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/400,865	09/21/1999	FEDERICO CANINI	3572-6	3921
759	90 04/24/2002			N
NIXON & VANDERHYE PC			EXAMINER	
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201			NGUYEN, SANG H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
		DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				P*				
٠		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
A 681		09/400,865	CANINI ET AL.					
Office I	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sang H Nguyen	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address Peri d f r Reply								
THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If the period for reply signature in the period for reply is paid for reply is an analysis of the period for reply within the same property and property in the period of the p	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  To available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication becified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reples specified above, the maximum statutory period he set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing struent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimul will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
_	e to communication(s) filed on <u>04 l</u>	February 2002						
<u>'</u>								
,								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disp sition of Claims</b>								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2	2,9,18, 23-24 and 31-62 is/are pen	ding in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,18,23,24 and 31-62</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	•	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
• •	d drawing correction filed on	_ , , , ,		ner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
· —	eclaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.						
Priority under 35 U.S			C C C 440(=) (d) == (5)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
<i></i>	· <del>-</del>	a hawa haan wasii wa	1					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
V <u> </u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
ap	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgm	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
• ==	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	· · · ——				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 18, 23-24, and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Plesko (U.S.Patent No. 6,233,098). (See the reasons as indicated in the previous office action dated October 04, 2001 in paper No 7).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9 and 31-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plesko (U.S.Patent No. 6,233,098) in view of Massieu et al (U.S.Patent No. 5,397,885). (See the reasons as indicated in the previous office action dated October 04, 2001 in paper No 7).

Application/Control Number: 09/400,865

Art Unit: 2877

5. Claims 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plesko (U.S.Patent No. 6,233,098) in view of Massieu et al (U.S.Patent No.5,397,885). (See the reasons as indicated in the previous office action dated October 04, 2001 in paper No 7).

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on February 04, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Plesko and/or Massieu do and/or does not disclosed an aiming device or method which visually indicates the zone being read, therefore providing the operator with immediate visual feedback as to pointing of the reading device.

This argument is not persuasive. Applicant does not proved the different structures and purposes between the aiming device and the optical reading device as disclosed in the Plesko and/or Massieu reference, since all the features recited in the aiming device and the optical reading device have the same results for reading the information. Even if the aiming device is different from the optical reading device, then it is considered in view obvious, because the term "the aiming device" is recited in the preamble but not in the body of claims. Further, the above-limitation "therefore providing the operator with immediate visual feedback as to pointing of the reading device" is not disclosed in the independent claims, and this limitation is also considered to be the functional and negative recitation. As stated in the previous office action, Plesko discloses the reading device 200 which is used to read the information 236 on a zone 230 to

Application/Control Number: 09/400,865

Art Unit: 2877

provide the operator (see the hand holding the optical reading device in fig. 22) with the visual feedback information on the window 212, where is pointed by the reading device (see fig. 22).

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Sang Nguyen whose telephone number (703)308-6426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Frank Font, can be reached on (703)308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7722 or 7724.

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Nguyen/ sn

April 18, 2001

Frank G. Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800